

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: CCMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/313,184	05/18/1999	KANAME MIWA	Q54404	3561	
7	590 10/21/2002				
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W WASHINGTON, DC 200373202			EXAMINER		
			TUNG, TA HSUNG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1743	20	
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002		
				<i>A</i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s) MIWA	BTAL	<u>-</u> <u>-</u> -
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	Paper No- 20
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of			respondence a	
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MA	ILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replict NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturent adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the statutory mir expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application	nimum of thirty (30) om the mailing dat to become ABANE	days will be consi e of this communic ONED (35 U.S.C. (idered timely. cation. § 133).
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on				· ·
This action is FINAL .				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. 	or formal matters, pro C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	secution as to	the merits is o	closed in
Disposition of Claims Claim(s) $(6-20, 22-24, 30-3)$		is/are pe	nding in the app	lication.
Of the above claim(s)		_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are allo	is/are allowed.		
Claim(s) 16-20, 22-24, 30-35	is/are rej	- is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are ob	is/are objected to.		
□ Claim(s)				
Application Papers		requirem		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapproved		•
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examiner		*	•
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec		,	•	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec		io		-
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International E	•	(a))		
*Certified copies not received:	• • •	` ''		
Attachment(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ni	nterview Summa	ary PTO_413	
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		•	ation DTO 450	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	•	 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Other 		
		- u 101 ,		-
Office Acti	on Summary			

Application/Control Number: 09/313,184

Art Unit: 1102

Page 2

Claims 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

These claims are not distinct from each other in that the different intended uses recited in the preambles impart no structural distinction. Also, a voltage range is by nature a process consideration. Therefore, different voltage ranges do not recite structural distinction.

Applicant argues that claims 32-34 are distinct from claims 16-20, 22-24, 30 and 31. While that is true, it is also moot. The rejection is that claims 32-34 are non-distinct from each other, not that claims 32-34 are non-distinct from the other pending claims.

Claims 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kato et al 5,672,811.

Applicant argues that while electrode 28 is shown to be larger than electrode 24, it is not evident that the ratio between their sizes serves to minimize the senor element resistance, since size ratios outside of the instant claimed range would not minimize the sensor element resistance. Further, Kato does not recognize or teach the importance of the electrodes' relative sizes.

This argument is not persuasive. It is evident from figure 2 of Kato that electrode 28 is shown to be only slightly larger than twice the size of electrode 24. Such a size ratio would clearly fall within applicant's claimed range (2:1 to 5:1 when electrode 28 is considered to be the negative electrode; 1:2 to 1:5 when electrode 24 is considered to be the negative electrode). That being the case, Kato's electrodes will inherently minimize the sensor element resistance and it is

Application/Control Number: 09/313,184

Art Unit: 1102

totally irrelevant whether the patent recognizes or teaches the importance of the electrodes' relative sizes.

Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al '811.

Applicant does not make a separate argument for this rejection. Thus, no further comment is needed.

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato etal '811 in view of Yagi etal 5,384,630.

Here also, no separate argument has been presented.

Claims 16-20, 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato etal '811 in view of Japan 5-87773 or Mase etal 4,657,659.

Here again, no separate argument has been made.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Art Unit: 1102

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The examiner can be reached at 703-308-3329. His supervisor Jill Warden can be reached at 703-308-4037. Any general inquiry should be directed to the receptionist at 703-308-0661. A fax number for TC 1700 is 703-872-9311.

Ta Tung

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1743